British Nationalist

DECEMBER 1985 12p

FOR RACE AND NATION

Only one word for the Anglo-Irish Agreement...

BETRAYAL

ULSTER LOYALISTS RIGHT TO FIGHT THATCHER DEAL WITH DUBLIN

ULSTER UNIONISTS say that Mrs. Thatcher's latest deal with the Irish Republic over Northern Ireland is a sell-out — and they are absolutely right!

The Hillsborough Agreement, which gives the Republic a say in a wide range of Northern Irish affairs, has been signed over the heads of the Northern Irish people and in a spirit which shows complete contempt for them. They have not been allowed any referendum to establish whether or not they support the Agreement — hardly a surprise, since an opinion poll recently conducted by MORI (Market & Opinion Research International) showed that 51 per-cent of the population in the province were opposed to the Agreement while only 35 per-cent supported it.

And remember — these figures were recorded **before** local Unionists had had the chance to mount a proper campaign in opposition to the Agreement and when the overwhelming weight of media propaganda was in favour of it.

The Government, in its efforts to disclaim the suggestion that the Agreement is a sell-out, has said that the role of the Republic as enshrined in it is only a consultative one and that the final decision over all matters in Northern Ireland will remain with Britain.

DOCUMENT OF TREASON
Thatcher and Fitzgerald sign the Agreement on Ulster

'SOVEREIGNTY' NO ASSURANCE

But just what assurance does that offer? The British Government has already shown that in its policy making over Northern Ireland it is not prepared to regard the British interest as being paramount nor to act in accordance with the will of the Northern Irish majority, but on the contrary to bend over backwards to please the Irish Republic and the powerful Irish Republican lobby in America.

Indeed it is the Thatcher Government's desire to be in the good books of President Reagan and to get American money pouring into Ulster that is probably the biggest factor making for the Anglo-Irish Agreement in the first place.

All this means that when the Government exercises its 'sovereignty' over Northern Ireland it will be much more heavily influenced in its decisions by what the Republic and America want than by what the Northern Irish Loyalists want. The pledge about continued British sovereignty is therefore meaningless.

Some politicians and newspapers have sad that if the Northern Irish Unionists are loyal to Britain, as they claim they are, they should abide by the decision of a British Government backed by a British Parliament.

In ordinary times this might be a fair argument, but these are not ordinary times.

These are times when **treason** is on the rampage in Westminster, when we have governments and parliaments that are perfectly prepared to sell British people and British interests down the river whenever it suits them. We have seen this in their tame submission to the Common Market and the backing they have given to the coloured invasion of this country.

When a government and parliament are packed with traitors it is no longer the loyal duty of every citizen to abide by their rulings; on the contrary, the duty of every loyal citizen is to **fight** them.

This is why we believe that the campaign now being mounted in Northern Ireland to oppose the Anglo-Irish Agreement is a just and worthy one, which deserves our support.

GLC glorifies black murderer

THE LEFT-WING Greater London Council has recently installed a bust of the Black South African terrorist Nelson Mandela at the Royal Festival Hall. Mandela, whom the GLC sees fit to honour in this way, has been imprisoned for the past 22 years by the South African Government for his activities on behalf of the African National Congress, a Communist-dominated body. He was the leader of the organisation's terrorist wing in South Africa and had a long record over many years of association with murder and mayhem in South Africa, especially against Whites.

In 1952, during the course of a 'defiance' campaign against the South African Government, whipped up by ANC agitation, a white nun, Dr. Mary Quinlan, who had been visiting the African sick in a black township, was waylaid by a black mob. She was dragged from her car and beaten and hacked to death as the mob howled: "Kill the white rubbish!"

According to black witnesses, Dr. Quinlan's body was cut to pieces and the fragments dished out among members of the mob and eaten by them. Afterwards police found only a pool of blood, lumps of flesh and a gutted car.

Far from repudiating the violent agitation that led to acts of this kind, Mandela afterwards was proclaiming to his ANC followers in a document entitled *How to be a good Communist* **that white rule must be smashed and that the enemies of Communism must be "completely crushed and wiped from the face of the earth before a Communist world can be realised." He declared that "we Communist Party members are the most advanced revolutionaries in modern history."**

This African Communist is now being promoted as a saint and martyr by the Labour Party and left-wing elements who run many British towns and cities today. Within the increasingly violent multi-racial setting of our own country a pattern of revolutionary mayhem and murder is being established that is similar to the one promoted by Mandela in South Africa. Outbreaks like the Handsworth and Tottenham riots are the obvious examples of this.

As streets, housing estates and public buildings are being named after this left-wing black terrorist the decent Whites of Britain should be under no illusion about what their politicians and local councillors have in store for them!

MANDELA
Responsible for gruesome crimes

College Principal hounded by black power mob for speaking the truth

THE PRINCIPAL of a North London college has been subjected to mob attack after denouncing the aggression and criminality of some black students.

Mr. Jack Fuller of Waltham Forest College, close to riot-torn Tottenham, made his observations in a letter to *The Times* and in a report to his Board of Governors following a trip to Jamaica by a delegation from the college during which his wife and three other members of the delegation were mugged.

Mr. Fuller spoke of Britons now being "ethnic minorities in parts of their own land," and mentioned thefts and assaults at the college by West Indian youths. The majority of "snatches" and other thefts were committed by young Blacks, he said in his *Times* letter. The position, he continued, had degenerated to the point where security officers on the premises were necessary, due to the number of assaults and robberies that had taken place.

The majority of the 6,000 students at the college are black and Asian.

When Mr. Fuller turned up at the college for the first time after commenting on these facts he was confronted by a howling mob of 300 students, picketing the entrance. Screaming "racist out!", they threw eggs and other missiles at his car. When he tried to cross this picket line the mob swarmed forward to attack him, banging on the roof of the car. Some college lecturers joined in with this mainly Asian and West Indian crowd.

The picket was preceded by an hysterical demonstration on college premises. One black girl student yelled into a megaphone: "We want this racist

Contd. overleaf

British
Nationalist

No. 52 DECEMBER 1985

Editor: John Morse

'PUBLIC ORDER' HUMBUG

FACED with the rising concern of the British people over crime and inner city violence, the Tory Government is now planning a massive propaganda campaign on the issue of law and order. This is AFTER it has presided for 6½ years over the worst collapse of law and order in modern British history!

As one newspaper put it: "Now the Prime Minister is preparing to capitalise on the public mood." The Tories seem to believe that the masses of white voters whom they have betrayed and abandoned to inner city muggers, rapists and rioters, especially since the disorders of 1981, can be conned by this campaign into backing them at the next General Election.

British people should be under no illusion that the Tories intend doing anything more substantial about law and order than letting forth a puff of electioneering rhetoric. In actual fact the Tories' whole stance is based on a red herring.

The Government is energetically going through the motions of introducing new laws in the present session of Parliament which it pretends are designed to prevent outbreaks of street violence like the recent riots in Handsworth and Tottenham. The truth is that Britain has for a long time had laws perfectly adequate to deal with such outbreaks. The problem has simply been that these laws have never been properly applied.

AND THE REASON THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PROPERLY APPLIED IS THAT GOVERNMENTS IN POWER HAVE BEEN TERRIFIED OF ENFORCING THE LAW — ANY LAW — AGAINST ETHNIC MINORITIES.

It is under this Tory Government that police have been hamstrung in the many multi-racial inner cities by the subversive Scarman measures which have been imposed upon them. Under the same Tory Government Blacks and Asians have been allowed the privilege of no-go areas, where they may carry on criminal activities with virtual impunity. Finally, it is under this Tory Government that Britain's massive race riots have actually occurred.

For their part, British police are undermanned, under-equipped, wrongly organised and deployed and trained in an outlook totally inadequate to handle the kinds of situation with which they have been faced at Handsworth and Tottenham. In these circumstances there is no possibility of properly policing riot areas.

Ultimately, the only solution to this terrifying increase of inner city violence is the Nationalist solution of REPATRIATION. This of course is a solution the Tories will never adopt. All they are now doing is exploiting the very situation which their lack of will to govern has created — by the creation of new legislation which, in the hands of weak political leaders, will be as good as useless.

SUMMIT CHARADE

Did Reagan and Gorbachev really have any quarrel to patch up?



THE 'BIG TWO'
Their meeting provided a convenient diversion from more important issues.

FOR MOST of the last month the news headlines have been dominated by the 'Summit Conference' in Geneva.

Indeed so much so that people might have been forgiven for thinking that the whole fate of the world, including our own country, depended on what President Reagan and Soviet boss Gorbachev worked out at their meeting.

And of course the media have been doing their best to create this very impression.

In fact very little depended on the conference, except perhaps the President's standing back home among his voters. The Great US/Soviet 'confrontation' and 'arms race' have always been a charade, elaborately staged to deflect the attention of people from much more important things happening in the world and to mislead people as to the real factors making for world unrest.

VERY LITTLE CONFLICT

The truth is that there is very little **genuine** conflict between those ruling America and those ruling Russia.

Ever since the two were buddies in the Second World War there has been much more uniting them than dividing them. Both are imperialistic. Both are dedicated to undermining the national sovereignty and freedom of the great white nations of Europe and their offspring and kindred nations around the world, like South Africa. America has continually collaborated with Russia by sending her technological and financial aid and baling her out of her succession of disastrous harvest failures.

Whenever Russia has embarked on military adventures, such as in Hungary, Czechoslovakia and Afghanistan, America has growled — but done nothing. Whenever America has embarked on military adventures, such as in Vietnam and Grenada, Russia has growled — but done nothing.

But when it came to the decolonisation of Africa, which worked to the loss of Britain, France and other European colonial powers and resulted in chaos throughout the African Continent, America and Russia were in common accord. They both worked to destroy White Rhodesia. They are now both working to destroy White South Africa.

So what do America and Russia really have to fight about? What have they ever had to fight about?

The answer is — nothing. In fact the aggressive postures they have been adopting towards one another all these years have been mere shadow boxing.

America is supposed to have its forces in Europe to stop a Russian attack. But what possibility has there ever been of such an attack?

Is it really seriously suggested that the Russians want to, or could, invade West Germany, France, the Low Countries, Austria, Switzerland, Italy, Spain and Portugal, Scandinavia and the British Isles? And what would they do with all these areas if they had them? Maintain a permanent occupying force in each of them, as in Afghanistan? It is estimated that the Russians now have over 100,000 troops tied down keeping the Afghans in subjugation. Just how many troops would they need to do the same throughout all Western Europe? And at what cost to the Soviet economy?

And all that is supposing that they were able to get away with such an invasion in the first place. Is it really seriously suggested that Britain, France and Germany combined, together with all the other Western European nations (a total population of over 300 millions and with far superior industrial development to the Soviets), could not resist such an attack without American help?

So what are American forces in Europe **really** for? What indeed — if not as an occupying power over Western Europe itself? An occupying power present in this continent to impose, if necessary, the will of the international financial masters who control America, and an occupying power whose most enthusiastic collaborator is the British political establishment, led by the Tories but with Labour and the rest not far behind.

Perhaps indeed the real basis for the conclusion of World War II and the setting up of the post-war world was a secret agreement between the US and the Soviets to parcel out and police the world between them, pretending to be at daggers with each other but in reality acting in collusion. That construction at least makes more sense than the prevailing one of an East/West conflict with America as the leader and protector of the West.

The analysis given here provides the reasons why we should not be carried away by the ballyhoo attending the Geneva Summit.

We simply do not think that Messrs. Reagan and Gorbachev have enough to quarrel about to justify all the massive build-up and emphasis given to their discussions.

World War III was not in fact averted at Geneva because World War III was never a possibility — at least in as much as it might involve America and Russia as antagonists.

And the 'arms race' between the two powers, which formed such a prominent part of the agenda at the Geneva meeting, is something whose importance is much more psychological than real, because neither power has ever intended fighting the other anyway!

What then did the Geneva Summit achieve? It achieved the purpose we suggested earlier — of focussing lots of people's attention away from much more important issues. In the case of the British people, the issues of unemployment, the collapse of law and order, the disastrous consequences of coloured immigration, the sell out of Northern Ireland, the increasing stranglehold over Britain of the EEC bureaucracy and, not least, the alarming reduction of our national defences.

For it is Britain's own capability for self-defence — not the American nuclear shield — that is going to decide whether or not we survive as a nation against our enemies.

And if we really start to look after our national interests we will find out who our true friends and enemies are. This paper predicts that when that time comes we will no more be able to rely on the likes of President Reagan than on Soviet party Secretary Gorbachev.

This is what makes the 'Summit' rather irrelevant to us.

COLLEGE PRINCIPAL HOUNDED BY MOB

(Contd. from front page)

out! This is **our** college and we're bloody entitled to it!''

A gang of degenerates and aliens belonging to Communist-type organisations, mostly the Socialist Workers' Party, whipped up the mob with inflammatory propaganda material, one spokesman for them remarking: ''We don't want him back here. If he does manage to get through our picket it'll be like World War III.''

NO REGARD FOR TRUTH

Also involved in hounding Mr. Fuller was the local branch of the College Lecturers' Union, which denounced his views regardless of the truth of them, and the Labour and Liberal councillors on the college Board of Governors, who in a 10 to 11 vote narrowly failed to suspend him. The left-wing mob then attempted to pressurise the local Education Committee at Walthamstow Town Hall into suspending Mr. Fuller.

Mr. Fuller had been 'carpeted' by his governors after saying that young Blacks should work to better themselves, instead of stealing and making trouble, as they had been at his college.

In his *Times* letter Mr. Fuller said of his visit to Jamaica: "The sort of loud, aggressive conduct seen in Brixton was there in Jamaica, and the young Jamaicans committing robberies and assaults in that beautiful island could not blame white police violence or prejudice by the white majority.

"They are the majority," he said, "and the Jamaican police are far more violent and likely to shoot to kill. The squalor that is everywhere is not just caused by unemployment."

NO 'RACIST'

Mr. Fuller is no 'racist'; in fact he is a fully committed multi-racialist — he could hardly be otherwise, as he has a Malaysian Chinese wife!

In the face of fresh outbreaks by the mob who had attacked Mr. Fuller, all the police could promise was to maintain a large presence in the vicinity.

This is how matters stand in Britain after 6½ years under a Tory Government that claims to be committed to ''freedom under the law.'' In fact no individual threatened by the multi-racial mob on the grounds that he is a 'racist' can expect the smallest effective protection of his or her rights.

FULLER
Dared speak out

AT THE COLLEGE GATES
Frenzied mob attacks Fuller's car

Exposed — how the Old Gang has ruined British industry

THE RECENTLY PUBLISHED REPORT of the House of Lords Select Committee on Overseas Trade has exposed the true extent of the ruin of British manufacturing industry under the rule of the old parties. Britain currently runs an enormous £4 billion trade deficit in manufactured goods. Foreign manufactures have been allowed by the Government to flood the country, destroying whole industries and throwing millions of British workers on the dole.

The Lords Report makes it plain that manufacturing output and employment have contracted catastrophically and to a far greater extent than among Britain's industrial rivals. Decline has taken place in other Western countries, but the contraction of British manufacturing has been of vastly greater dimensions.

Current manufacturing output is still 9 per-cent below the 1979 peak, attained immediately before the onset of the present economic depression. In the Lords Report Lord Aldington states that mone of our major competitors — Japan, the US or the European countries (who have favoured access to our domestic market under EEC arrangements) — have experienced an absolute fall in the level of manufacturing. In addition to this, since 1983 Britain has had a deficit trade balance in manufactures — for the first time since the Industrial Revolution.

The seriousness of British industrial decline was brought out in the figures published in September by the National Economic Development Council (NEDC). In the sectors as defined in the NEDC statistics, "Metals, minerals and chemicals"; "Metal products, engineering and vehicles"; and "Other manufacturing", Britain is the only country to have sustained decreases.

Meanwhile manufacturing has also contracted relatively, that is as a proportion of Britain's total output and employment.

The reality behind this process is that Britain has been losing industrial and technological capabilities which are absolutely vital, even to achieve basic national survival in the modern world. A strong industrial base is fundamental to a nation's ability to survive international struggle. To take the most extreme case, the lack of technical and industrial self-sufficiency in both world wars nearly brought Britain to grief. She was only able to avoid immediate military defeat by contracting huge international debts in order to purchase American technology, thereby placing herself in pawn to international finance, with the resulting ruin of her empire and world position after 1945.

WHEN OIL RUNS OUT

For the present economic predicament the peers blame North Sea oil, the high exchange rate and tight monetary policy. They predict that, as North Sea oil runs out and the revenue from it disappears, Britain will be permanently stuck with balance-of-payments crises, inflaton, stagnation and mass unemployment.

What they do not point out is that the economic catastrophe with which the British people are faced is the natural outcome of the internationalist economic doctrines of the establishment and the Old Gang politicians. Those politicians who have embodied these dogmas at their worst are Mrs. Thatcher herself, the new Trade and Industry Secretary Leon Brittan, Chancellor of the Exchequer Nigel Lawson and the rest of the internationally minded crew who run the present Tory Government. Under the domination of these people Britain has been deliberately subjected, in the name of 'free trade' and the 'free market', to an unhindered flood of imports at the same time as interest rates have been raised to record levels, thus choking off the supply of money necessary to maintain demand in Britain's own domestic market for the goods produced in our own factories — while squeezing industry in such a way that it cannot in fact compete at all with the cheap import flood. The high Pound has in addition made British products too expensive to compete in export markets.

The truth is that the notions of economic internationalism have always operated against Britain's interests. Most other nations do not share the extent of our belief in this kind of internationalism. They have built up their economic power by protecting their home markets against imports. Their own industries are thus securely based on a guaranteed domestic market. Japan has thrived economically by being nationalist in outlook. Spain, until her recent move to join the EEC, has also built up her manufacturing strength from poor beginnings, now producing more motor cars than Britain. This has been accomplished by Nationalist measures.

DERELICTION AND RUIN
Typical British industrial landscape

INDUSTRIES RUN DOWN

Against this background of economic internationalism, under which competition against Britain's own industries has been deliberately promoted while our domestic industries have been deliberately run down so that they cannot meet that competition, the sleazy little Tory politicians have cynically misused and squandered Britain's oil wealth to cushion the worst effects of the depression they have created, and thereby spared themselves even greater political embarrassment. International financial interests have been allowed a free hand to exploit British oil just as they like, producing and marketing it as fast as they can so as to maximise their own profits. As the oil, which should have been carefully husbanded as a British national resource, is fast used up, the Government is pouring out the royalties it rakes in from oil production towards unemployment benefit. This oil production itself has been a powerful factor in forcing up the value of the Pound on international markets, another source of pressure against the competitiveness of Britain's manufactures. Tory internationalism has led to the economics of bedlam!

Britain itself has suffered ruin in the process. The gainers have been the sharks of the cosmopolitan international financial fraternity. These international bankers, whose loyal servants politicians like Mrs. Margaret Thatcher and Leon Brittan are, have been aiming at a 'restructuring' of the world economy to our disadvantage. They plan a new world order, dominated by themselves, by means of exports of capital and jobs away from Britain and the countries of the West and towards the coloured and Communist world. The ruin of British manufacturing, through the application of economic internationalism by this Government, is a part of this scheme.

THE SOLUTION

A Nationalist Government would put an end to this. Britain has the technology, skills and labour force to produce all the manufactured articles currently imported. By issuing money into the economy interest-free, and in the volume necessary to purchase these goods, while excluding imports of goods that can be made in Britain, full employment and national prosperity can be restored.

INTERNATIONAL CONSPIRACY BEHIND ATTACK ON WHITE SOUTH AFRICA

WE BRITISH, and other peoples of the West, are currently being incited by the mass media and leftist agitation towards hatred against our fellow Whites in South Africa. We are being told that the non-Whites of South Africa are being "oppressed" under that country's system of Separate Development. In actual fact Separate Development is merely an arrangement whereby Blacks are to live separately from Whites throughout South Africa — perhaps not such a bad idea in view of the failure of multi-racialism everywhere else in the world!

In fact South Africa's non-Whites could not possibly benefit from the end of White rule, and indeed are not intended to. Their interests are the very last thing in the minds of those presently promoting the downfall of South Africa's *Apartheid* system.

The present disorder in South Africa is the outcome of many years of agitation against the country's White Nationalist Government by a sophisticated network of Marxist subversives. This has been conducted largely through a front organisation, the 'African National Congress' (ANC), just nominally headed by black terrorist Nelson Mandela, currently imprisoned.

But in fact the real brains behind ANC violence has always been the illegal South African Communist Party, operating in exile since 1963, when most of its then leaders were rounded up and jailed. The South African Communist Party has always been dominated by a disproportionately Jewish clique.

JEWISH FIGURES

For instance, the planning of terrorism and murder, and the training of black terrorists, have chiefly been the work of such figures as Lionel Bernstein, Arthur Goldreich, Denis Goldberg and Joe Slovo. Until their arrest in 1963 these four drew up the plans for bombings and sabotage and established terrorist training centres in South Africa.

Slovo escaped from prison and since that time has headed the Communist Party in exile, directing ANC terrorism from neighbouring countries such as Marxist Mozambique. Slovo brags of having ordered bombings in South Africa over the past 5 years which have killed more than 2 dozen people.

Goldberg was recently released from his term of life imprisonment as a result of Israeli pressure on the South African Government. For a time he lived in Israel itself before rejoining the staff of the ANC office in London in order to resume his activities against South Africa.

HARRY OPPENHEIMER
Seen here with son Nicky (left)

This Communist conspiracy has always been able to count on powerful allies with international connections. South Africa's biggest capitalist, and possibly the richest man in the world, multi-billionaire Harry Oppenheimer, has long been using the power of his money to undermine white rule in that country. The son of a German Jew sent to South Africa as a nominee of the Rothschilds, Oppenheimer's wealth and power come from his family's domination of the two corporations inherited from his father, the Anglo-American Corporation (a gold-mining company) and De Beers, which monopolises the sale and distribution of 85 per-cent of the world's diamonds.

Internationally, Oppenheimer enjoys cosy and profitable relationships with Communists. De Beers has exclusive marketing rights to all diamonds mined in Russia's slave camps, accounting for 25 per-cent of world production. This is a major source of hard currency whereby the Soviet Union buys grain and high technology from the West.

Oppenheimer effectively owns and controls all the tin-pot African Marxist dictators in the black states neighbouring South Africa, and they give him exclusive rights to mine the mineral wealth of their countries. White rule in these countries, including 'Zimbabwe' (formerly Rhodesia) constituted an obstacle to the international money power for which Oppenheimer fronts, and that is why he and his allies played a major part in bringing it to an end.

OPPENHEIMER PRESS HELPED COMMUNISTS

Hence Oppenheimer's long-standing support for the multi-racialist left in South Africa itself. Shortly before the South African Communist Party was broken up, Communist leader Braam Fischer remarked to the Oppenheimer-controlled press that it had done a "fine job" for the Communist cause (Oppenheimer in fact controls most of the English language papers in South Africa). Today Oppenheimer backs the leftist Progressive Reform Party, which advocates an end to white rule. He knows that should this come about he would be able to dominate South Africa politically as well as with his money, as he could easily manipulate the black 'rulers' who would only nominally take over from the white Nationalists.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I notice that the left-wing media vultures are screaming in protest because they have been stopped filming riot scenes in South Africa by the Government there. According to them, this is 'suppression' of legitimate 'news'.

Here in Liverpool riots are occurring almost weekly in the black areas, yet they are not hitting national headlines. Why? Because the very same media vultures are suppressing the stories in the interests of good 'race relations'.

What greater hypocrisy can there be?

D.S. KENNY
Liverpool

Sir, — When they first announced the showing of 'East Enders', saying that it would be "London's answer to Coronation Street", I switched on with interest.

It was the last time I did. The programme is nothing but a gigantic piece of multi-racial slush. Anything less like real life in Cockneyland is impossible to imagine. Here in fact, far from wanting to mix with the Blacks and Asians, the great majority of the population keeps as far from them as possible.

MARY WATTS (Mrs.)
London E.2

GREAT RALLY IN GLASGOW

THE ST. ANDREW'S DAY RALLY held by the British National Party in Glasgow on November 30th turned out to be the best attended British Nationalist event in Scotland in the whole post-war period.

Nearly 150 people were present at the rally, including delegations of party members travelling from as far away as Cornwall, but the majority of those in attendance were, like last year, local people.

The number at the rally might have been considerably more had it not been for another event being held locally — a rally of Loyalists addressed by The Rev. Ian Paisley. This event undoubtedly diverted some local interest from the BNP meeting.

Before the rally a group calling itself the ''Scottish Asian *(sic)* Action Committee'' attempted to organise a counter demonstration which it was hoped would prevent the Nationalist meeting taking place. The response to this attempt was feeble, however, with only a tiny few turning up.

The main theme of the rally was opposition to the Anglo-Irish Agreement — over which there is a great deal of anger in Scotland, with its strong Ulster Loyalist tradition. David Bruce, Gus McLeod and John Tyndall spoke, with local Organiser Eric Brand in the chair. An important theme of Mr. Tyndall's closing speech was that Ulster Loyalists could not hope to preserve the Union with Britain and their British heritage by fighting their battle only in Ulster; they must carry that battle to the British mainland and join forces with other patriots in the United Kingdom in opposing the treason of the establishment — and not only in the context of the sell-out of Northern Ireland.

Gus McLeod made a fiery and, at times, very humorous speech on a similar theme and helped to enhance his growing reputation as a major platform speaker for the party.

Eric Brand made the collection speech and raised over £200.

After the meeting party members retired to a nearby pub and took part in an enjoyable informal social with the Glasgow BNP as much appreciated hosts.

The local media took considerable interest in the event, despite rival attractions on the day, and confirmed the growing impact that the BNP is now making in Scotland.

Glasgow BNP are to be congratulated in organising this excellent rally. St. Andrew's Day has now become as important event in the party calendar as St. George's Day in England. Another big rally will be held at around the same time next year, though whether in Glasgow or elsewhere in Scotland has not yet been decided.

STOP FASCISTS IN GLASGOW

On Saturday 30 November, 1985 the British National Party will assemble in the centre of Glasgow and proceed to a rally where their leader, John Tyndall, will spread his racist propaganda.

The BNP and the National Front are increasing their activities in Glasgow. They have been putting up posters, leafleting outside schools and football matches with the theme 'Keep Britain White'. They believe that they can widen their support in Glasgow by trying to divide our communities by any possible means. We must not allow this!

The BNP is not just a political party. It uses violent means to further its own ends with no regard to for democratic methods. It seeks to exploit existing divisions within our society. We must not allow them to gain any further foothold in Glasgow. The racists must be stopped!

We intend to show that the people of Glasgow will not tolerate their presence here - not Saturday, not ever!

FEEBLE ATTEMPT TO STOP RALLY
Part of the leaflet by ''Scottish Asians'' reproduced

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British Revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: ''Why we must repatriate'' (theme self-explanatory); **Side 2:** ''Foundations of the national community'' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 34p post
25 copies £2.70 + 98p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.72 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.10 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.30 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.50 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.65 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.95 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist,* PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

NF chief scotches unity project

SUPPORTERS of the British National Party will know that the party leadership has made numerous efforts snce the foundation of the BNP in 1982 to bring about unity between Nationalists in Britain.

After the failure of the last initiative of this kind in the Summer of this year it was decided that the party should make no further moves in the unity field except in response to initiatives coming from other groups.

A few weeks ago, however, an approach was made to the BNP by a senior member of the National Front, who contacted our Public Relations Officer Stanley Clayton-Garnett and suggested talks between the two groups.

It was urged upon Mr. Clayton-Garnett that such talks should be before the NF Annual General Meeting scheduled to take place on November 3rd, so that any propositions arising from the talks could be put to that meeting. The BNP representatives co-operated in arranging for the talks to take place quickly, and two delegations representing the two parties met in Leeds on November 17th.

BNP leader John Tyndall was unable to be present at this meeting but sent to the meeting a statement of his opinions on the matters to be discussed.

Subsequently a report of the Leeds talks was sent by Mr. Clayton-Garnett to Mr. Tyndall. The report said that the main stumbling block to unity seemed to be the insistence by the NF delegation that the name 'National Front' be adopted as the name of any party arising from out of a unification between the two factions — a proposal that was unacceptable to the BNP side. Mr. Clayton-Garnett's impression was that on other issues there was a possibility that agreement could be reached.

A statement of what was discussed at the Leeds meeting was given to the National Front AGM and a debate on the issue subsequently took place.

It is understood that opposition to an agreement with the BNP for unification was led by NF Chairman Ian Anderson, and eventually the matter was put to a vote of the meeting. In the outcome a majority decided against unification with the BNP.

Notwithstanding this verdict, those representing the NF in the Leeds talks have expressed their wish that liaison between the two parties should continue, and to this proposition the BNP has given its agreement.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist,* PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

PROSECUTIONS

THE ESTABLISHMENT, frightened to death at the growing evidence of the failure of the multi-racial society it has promoted and at the mounting challenge to that society by the Nationalist movement, is now attempting to 'gag' Nationalists by a series of prosecutions against British National Party and National Front personnel.

Recently BNP leader **John Tyndall** and *British Nationalist* Editor **John Morse** were charged with publishing words that were ''threatening, abusive or insulting in cases where...hatred was likely to be stirred up against racial groups.'' The charges have been brought under Section 5a of the Public

LEN BEARSFORD WALKER
One of the defendants

Order Act of 1936. Messrs. Tyndall and Morse are due to appear at the Magistrates Court in Horseferry Road, London, on December 16th.

In addition, prosecutions have also been brought against Devon & Cornwall BNP members, **David Cullingford, Richard Trehane, Kevin Rowe, Stephen Knight** and **Len Bearsford Walker** for distributing leaflets outside schools classed in a similar category.

Similar charges have also been brought against Leeds BNP Organiser **David Owens** as a result of his part in selling *British Nationalist* locally.

Finally, identical charges have been brought against Bromley members **Alf Waite** (the Branch Organiser) and **Andrew Shotton** as a result of the contents of the local BNP newsletter *Counter Attack.*

As a result of this pile-up of prosecutions, it has now been decided to launch a Legal Defence Fund to help the Nationalists concerned. This will cover any legal expenses involved.

All those wishing to contribute to the Fund should send their donations to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

OUR PAPER opposes coloured immigration and multi-racialism. This does not mean, however, that we hate other races or encourage others of our own race to do likewise. Those who are angered by the results of multi-racialism should direct their anger at the politicians who created it, not the immigrants.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley
PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

DEVON & CORNWALL
PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)
2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 72, Liverpool L69 8AJ

MANCHESTER
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE
Leeds & Bradford
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

Wakefield
PO Box 42, Wakefield

Hull
PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Devizes (Wilts), Brierley Hill (W. Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax and Sheffield. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to Party Head Office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS